

REMARKS

Restriction and election under 35 U.S.C. §121 has been required among species of the invention as illustrated in Figures 1, 2A, 2B, 3 and 4. This requirement for election of species is respectfully traversed at least with respect to the designation of independent and distinct species per drawing figure, and with respect to the generic characterization of pending claims.

Specifically, Applicants submit that the high-level block diagram of Figure 1, the schematic diagram of Figure 3, and the circuit schematic of Figure 4 may all be properly characterized as a common specie of the invention for common examination in this Application.

Reconsideration of the election requirement among the illustrated species is therefore requested.

Applicants, however, provisionally elect to prosecute in this application the subject matter of the specie illustrated, for example, in Figure 3, and lists as readable thereon the claims 1-3 and 6-27.

Favorable action is solicited.

Respectfully submitted,
Thomas S. Wong, et al.

Dated: 4/20/07

By: /Albert C. Smith/
Albert C. Smith, Reg. No. 20,355
Fenwick & West LLP

Silicon Valley Center
801 California Street
Mountain View, CA 94041
Tel.: (650) 335-7296
Fax: (650) 938-5200